**Maine Soil and Agronomy Workshop**
February 22, 2017, UMPI Campus Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 A.M.</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 A.M.</td>
<td>Introductory Remarks</td>
<td>Dr. Jason Johnston, Professor, Department Chair, UMPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL SOIL AND AGRONOMY SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 A.M.</td>
<td>Improving Farm Income: Rotational Crop and Potato Irrigation</td>
<td>Dr. Lakesh Sharma, Soil &amp; Crop Specialist, UMCE &amp; UMPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 A.M.</td>
<td>Wireworm Survival in Soil and Its Control</td>
<td>Jim Dwyer, Crop Specialist, UMCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15 A.M.</td>
<td>Economic Benefit of Using Precision Agriculture Tools</td>
<td>Sukhwinder Bali, Professional Educator, UMCE &amp; UMPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 A.M.</td>
<td>Black Beans - Do They Have a Place in Maine Crop Rotations?</td>
<td>Jake Dyer, Agronomist, Maine Potato Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 A.M.</td>
<td>Nematodes Survival in Soil and its Control</td>
<td>Dave Lambert, Professor of Plant Pathology, UMaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOIL HEALTH SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 A.M.</td>
<td>Soil Information SystemTM, Soil Mapping Technology</td>
<td>Sam Delano, McCain Foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:50 A.M.</td>
<td>How to Determine Soil Health</td>
<td>Will Brinton, Woods End Laboratories Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 A.M.</td>
<td>Soil Health in the Potato and Grain Rotation</td>
<td>Patrick Toner, Soil Management Specialist, Agriculture, Aquaculture and Fisheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 A.M.</td>
<td>Fertilizer and Liming in Soil</td>
<td>Dr. Lakesh Sharma, Soil &amp; Crop Specialist, UMCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:50 A.M.</td>
<td>Lunch (On your own)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 P.M.</td>
<td>Soil Health, Yield Stability, and an Overview of Soil Health Strategies</td>
<td>Dr. Ellen Mallory, Assoc. Professor &amp; Sustainable Agriculture Specialist University of Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:50 P.M.</td>
<td>Forms and Efficiency of Applied Phosphorus in Soil</td>
<td>Bruce Hoskins, Maine Soil Testing Service' and Analytical Lab, UMaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:10 P.M.</td>
<td>Soil Productivity and No-Till in Potato Systems</td>
<td>Sam Wright, Cavendish Agri-Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 P.M.</td>
<td>Cover Crops and Soil Moisture and Temperature Issues</td>
<td>Tony Jenkins, NRCS, Bangor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:50 P.M.</td>
<td>Nurse Crops - Strengths Weaknesses</td>
<td>Dr. John Jamison, Extension Professor, UMCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:10 P.M.</td>
<td>Strategies to Keep Soil in Place</td>
<td>Eric Giberson, NRCS, Fort Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 P.M.</td>
<td>Adjourned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Improving Farm Income: Rotation Crop and Potato Irrigation
Lakesh Sharma and Sukhwinder Bali
University of Maine Cooperative Extension and University of Maine at Presque Isle

The Maine Potato Industry has a positive impact on Maine’s economy. Potato yields over last 20 years have been consistent, with little improvement compared to other potato producing states. Cost of potato production during this time has increased significantly, putting potato growers under intense pressure to compete in order to remain viable and sustainable. Nutrient management is a key for sustainable potato production. Extensive work has been done on nitrogen (N) management in Maine, but most of that work has been conducted exclusively at the Aroostook Research Farm where soils may not be typical of farmed soils throughout Aroostook or the rest of the state. An effective nutrient management program is required to increase production efficiency and yields. Using precision agriculture tools have been found successful in grain production system and high value crop. There is huge potential to use site specific farming approach in Maine to improve farm income by reducing input cost such as apply less fertilizers, pesticides, and use of farm machinery without affecting quality and yield. It has been documented in several studies that use of precision agriculture tools helped in improving yield, quality, and ultimately higher profit. Following are the features of using precision agriculture tools:

- Detect insect and diseases foot print
- Help in scheduling nitrogen and water application
- Site-specific recommendations of nitrogen (N) application and plant N uptake
- Site-specific recommendations for Maine’s production area are needed because available soil N and the N uptake behavior vary with soil properties (texture, structure, and development). Regional climate conditions and their interactional actions also result in variation in N optimal rates within the field and among growing seasons (Mellkonian et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2009). Feed barley does not have any late season N requirement. However, malt barley requires small amounts of N at several growth stages for optimum protein development in grains. Excessive N in grain can lead to lodging, small grain size, lower yield, and head blight (Franzen and Goos, 2015). The melting industry rejects barley that has excessive N.

- Because of different quality requirements, feed and malting barley require separate fertilizer recommendations. With a growing livestock market in southern Maine and brewing market near Portland and throughout Maine, northern Maine can be a source of barley for both feed and malt markets. Growers can adopt barley as a rotational crop with variable N and P rate management practices to improve yield (feed and malting barley), quality (malting barley), and reduce input costs.
- In-season N application could help in improving protein value in barley required for high malt quality. Ther are selected varieties for feed and malt barley starbderized by Ellen Mallory, University of Maine Cooperative Extension. For more information please contact her on ellen.mallory@maine.edu.

Wireworm Survival in Soil and Control Strategies
James D. Dwyer, Crops Specialist/Extension Professor, University of Maine Cooperative Extension

The wireworm is the immature form of the click beetle. The wireworms associated with potatoes in Maine tend to be yellow-orange in color, hard bodied, which is wire-like and can vary in size from less than 1/8 of an inch to about 2 inches. The adult form of this insect is the click beetle, so named because of its ability to create an audible “click” sound. Upon close examination of the adult one can see a spine-like projection on the prosternum of the thorax, which it can fit into a notch on the mesosternum and by manipulating these, it can jump to escape predators or right itself if on its back. When making this movement the insect makes a distinctive “click” sound, hence the name “click” beetle.

Wireworms, which attack potato tubers and other plants, are attracted to carbon dioxide. When seed germinates, the seed releases carbon dioxide into the soil. This is an attractant to the wireworm, which is how the wireworm locates the food source. The adult wireworm, the click beetle, is also attracted to grass species. Typically, each female click beetle can lay 200 to 400 eggs.

There are four common wireworm species found within the potato growing regions of Maine:

- Agriotes mancus
- Hemiceps destructor
- Hypnoidus abbreviates
- Melanotus spp.

Wireworm larvae have a characteristic hard, wire-like body, which consists of nine-segments with three pairs of thoracic legs. The ninth segment is the most important determinant of wireworm genus and species. Other structures helpful in identification include the caudal notch, urogomphi (the structures surrounding the caudal notch), mandibles, and body shape.

Wireworms overwinter by burrowing deep into the soil and by secreting glycerol into their hemolymph (insect equivalent to blood). This survival strategy allows the wireworm to avoid some of the cold temperatures of winter and the potato acts as a natural antifreeze.

Wireworms will travel up through the soil profile in the spring being attracted to warmer surface temperatures and when close enough, to the CO2 being given off by germinating plant material. Wireworm management strategies should consider this behavior pattern, when planning management strategies.

Rotation and tillage strategies can also be an important component of a wireworm management plan. When chemistry is employed as a management component one should consider efficacy, timing, rates, residual, toxicity and the environmental aspects when planning to use a product. The application method is also an important consideration.

Economic Benefit of Using Precision Agriculture Tools
Sukhwinder Bali and Lakesh Sharma
University of Maine Cooperative Extension and University of Maine at Presque Isle

Precision agriculture is the technique of using the right amount of input (such as fertilizer, pesticide, water, seed) at the right time and at the right location to increase production, decrease inputs, and protect the environment. Precision agriculture is using both the technology and the techniques. Precision agriculture initially requires both time and investment. It gives short term pay off but will provide huge benefits in future. Site-specific databases and precision management tools will provide a long-run competitive advantage and profit. Precision agriculture technology is now used worldwide and provide decent return like three-hour extended workday from a GPS-linked guidance systems resulted in an additional $1.63/acre return (Griff in et al., 2008) also save about 30 percent of the time by minimizing overlap. Environmentally, it reduces the fertilizer and pesticide use by about 2 percent. Koch et al. (2004) In Colorado, found that in irrigated corn zone-directed application require 6 to 46 percent less N and net returns ranged from $77/acre to $110/acre for the practice. The most robust savings that farmers can make in precision agriculture is the development of management skill and databases. The purpose of Precision agriculture is to manage adoption of precision agriculture technology for future payoff.
Black Beans – Do They Have a Place in Maine Crop Rotations?

Dave Lambert, Professor of Plant Pathology, UMaine

Black beans are a class of edible dry bean with a small seed size (2300-2800 seeds per pound), upright type II potato and grain farm operations. Black beans can be a class of edible dry bean with a small seed size (2300-2800 seeds per pound), upright type II (short vine, narrow profile) indeterminate architecture, and relative maturity ratings of 98-100 days. Black beans can be planted in either narrow or wide rows (15-36 inches), have good resistance to lodging, and can be cut directly with a traditional grain combine without damaging the seed coat.

Although considered a warm season crop, black beans are produced in several cooler regions of the United States and Canada. Michigan and North Dakota are the largest US black bean producing states while New York, Minnesota, and the Canadian provinces of Manitoba and Ontario also produce several thousand acres.

This project will be an effort to develop best management plans for producing black beans in Maine. Collaborating growers throughout Aroostook County will plant field scale experiments to evaluate varieties, plant populations, fertility practices, crop protectants, and adaptability to direct cutting. Average 5 year prices ranging from $33 - $37 per hundredweight and possible yields of 20-30 hundredweight per acre make black beans an attractive alternative crop option to Maine potato and grain producers. A market opportunity exists for black beans in New York and with the possibility of a local grain elevator as a delivery point, black bean production serves a closer look.
How to Determine Soil Health
Will Brinton, Woods End Laboratories Inc

Soil health tests are of increasing interest to growers. One way to gauge health is by microbial respiration tests. Such tests are a means to determine functional biological which relates to soil health. Soil labs across America including University of Maine are now able to perform CO2 testing through the use of the new available technology invented here in Maine, called Solvita®. The test measures the aggregate of soil biological response by nature of the fact that all soil organisms breathe in oxygen and give off CO2. The turnover of this CO2 is directly related to several important mechanisms: nitrogen mineralization or the supply of available N to crops, and aggregate structure building, or the ability of soil to resist water dispersion. The presentation will follow some of the developments in use of biology tests and relate them to each other and show some practical examples of value to farmers.

Fertilization and Liming in Soil
Lakesh Sharma and Sukhwinder Bali
University of Maine Cooperative Extension and University of Maine at Presque Isle

The potato industry has a significant impact on Maine’s economy ($540 million impact annually), personal income (more than $33 million), State and local taxes ($32 million), and workforce development (6100 jobs) (USDA, 2003). Despite stable yield during the last 20 years, grower’s profit has declined (Halloran, 2013). Growers can adopt variable N rate management practices to improve yield and reduce input cost; but there is a need to understand how behavior under different climate and soil conditions and development of improved N recommendations with accessible mobile application.

Soils differ in yield potential as well as in their potential for nitrogen mineralization and losses; therefore, fertilizer recommendations according to specific soil type are required to achieve target yield increases while also reducing fertilizer use. Soil series consists of groups of soils which possess the same characteristics across the landscape. A better understanding of soil series helps in proper fertilizer application management, as different classes of soils respond differently to the fertilizers. Available N and crop N uptake behavior varies with soil properties (texture, structure, and development), regional climate conditions, and their interactions which results in variability in optimal N rates spatially and temporally. To compensate for this variability, farmers struggle to apply optimum N. Geographically, Maine is in the areas of high inorganic N deposition but N use efficiency, profitability, and crop yield with low environmental contamination from excess nitrate in corn production. This approach used the SPAD chlorophyll meter measurements which helped estimate the crop N status against a standard color and then applying N as required. This technique helped to maintain the optimum yield with less fertilizer application. The GBAA sensors have been successfully used in wheat, corn, cotton, sunflower, and sugar beet. In conclusion, apply your fertilizer according to your soil types. On a sandy soils, in-season N application is highly successful. On medium textured soils apply N according to the moisture soil levels or look at the weather predictions. Using ammonium sulfate has a highly potential to create acidic soils therefore more lime required to compensate for optimum pH. In Maine use of ammonium sulfate is common due to its slow release N characteristic but it highly recommended to use on acidic soils. It creates three time more acidity into the soil compared to any other N fertilizer. In conclusion we will recommend using blended N fertilizer with ammonium nitrate, poly-coated urea, or any availability cheaper slow release N fertilizer to reduce the effect of ammonium sulfate towards soil acidity.

Soil Health in the Potato and Grain Rotation
Patrick Toner
Soil Management Specialist, Agriculture, Aquaculture and Fisheries

Results will be presented on a 2012-2013 trial conducted by the Eastern Canada Soil and Water Conservation Centre and NB Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Aquaculture. A one hectare grid pattern was used on a total of six fields located in proximity to St Andre, Drummond and East Glassville NB, that were in grain 2012 and potatoes 2013. Grain yield monitors measured and mapped yield. Soil samples were taken at each grid point and assessed using Cornell Soil Health parameters. Statistical analysis of each of the sites was done to establish zones of individual soil health parameters along with grain yield zones and comparisons made to determine any relationships. In 2013, potato yield samples were taken by hand harvesting ten foot strips at each fields grid points. In addition to soil health parameters, historical land use patterns such as field consolidation was obtained from aerial photography and local knowledge. The spatial variability distributions of grain and potato yields across all fields did not appear to strongly relate with soil health test findings. However, soil pH, phosphorous levels, compaction, historical land use, topography and erosion all showed some potentially manageable crop-limiting patterns. Funding for this trial was only available for one rotation and several rotation cycles would be needed to provide more insight on potential benefits with relation to yield and soil health. Since completion of the above trial, soil and potato productivity has been assessed with various BMP’s 2014-2016. Some insight into influence on soil health parameters (i.e. infiltration, aggregate stability, soil moisture differences) along with impact on yield will be provided as a result of the use of compost across the same rotation cycle and nurse crops within the potato production year.

The potato industry has a significant impact on Maine’s economy ($540 million impact annually), personal income (more than $33 million), State and local taxes ($32 million), and workforce development (6100 jobs) (USDA, 2003). Despite stable yield during the last 20 years, grower’s profit has declined (Halloran, 2013). Growers can adopt variable N rate management practices to improve yield and reduce input cost; but there is a need to understand how behavior under different climate and soil conditions and development of improved N recommendations with accessible mobile application.

Soils differ in yield potential as well as in their potential for nitrogen mineralization and losses; therefore, fertilizer recommendations according to specific soil type are required to achieve target yield increases while also reducing fertilizer use. Soil series consists of groups of soils which possess the same characteristics across the landscape. A better understanding of soil series helps in proper fertilizer application management, as different classes of soils respond differently to the fertilizers. Available N and crop N uptake behavior varies with soil properties (texture, structure, and development), regional climate conditions, and their interactions which results in variability in optimal N rates spatially and temporally. To compensate for this variability, farmers struggle to apply optimum N. Geographically, Maine is in the areas of high inorganic N deposition but N use efficiency, profitability, and crop yield with low environmental contamination from excess nitrate in corn production. This approach used the SPAD chlorophyll meter measurements which helped estimate the crop N status against a standard color and then applying N as required. This technique helped to maintain the optimum yield with less fertilizer application. The GBAA sensors have been successfully used in wheat, corn, cotton, sunflower, and sugar beet. In conclusion, apply your fertilizer according to your soil types. On a sandy soils, in-season N application is highly successful. On medium textured soils apply N according to the moisture soil levels or look at the weather predictions. Using ammonium sulfate has a highly potential to create acidic soils therefore more lime required to compensate for optimum pH. In Maine use of ammonium sulfate is common due to its slow release N characteristic but it highly recommended to use on acidic soils. It creates three time more acidity into the soil compared to any other N fertilizer. In conclusion we will recommend using blended N fertilizer with ammonium nitrate, poly-coated urea, or any availability cheaper slow release N fertilizer to reduce the effect of ammonium sulfate towards soil acidity.
Availability and Efficiency of Phosphorus in Soil

Bruce Hoskins, Maine Soil Testing Service and Analytical Lab, UMaine

Phosphorus is one of the most environmentally sensitive nutrients that we manage. Widespread eutrophication of surface waters has given rise to increasingly restrictive regulation of P applications. Given the finite supply of phosphate resources and the ongoing problem of water quality issues, it is imperative that we manage phosphorus fertility as efficiently as possible.

Phosphorus chemistry in soil is exceedingly complex and variable. P will bond with whatever constituents are abundant and chemically active in the soil: aluminum, iron, and other metals in acidic soils, calcium and magnesium in alkaline soils, and with organic matter and humus at any soil pH. Plant uptake is restricted to free ionic phosphate (orthophosphate) in soil water. However, the vast majority of soil P is held in stable compounds or complexes, some of which are temporarily or permanently unavailable to plants. In simple terms, phosphorus fertility management consists of minimizing its loss to these unavailable forms. Dozens of extraction and fractionation methods have been developed to characterize the forms of P in the soil, its availability to growing plants, and the tendency of soil to hold or release P to plants and the environment.

Environmental test methods include Water-Soluble P (WSP) and the P Saturation Index (PSI). WSP is used to determine the relative risk of soil P loss to the environment during periods of surface flow or from eroded sediment in runoff. PSI is used to gauge the soil’s capacity to safely hold applied P. A PSI greater than 15-25% has been associated with greatly increased WSP and potential environmental risk.

Routine soil test P (STP), such as the Morgan and Mehlich 3 methods, index plant available P to determine the likelihood of a positive yield response to further P application. The Fixation Index is an experimental method used to gauge potential loss of applied P & K, using maximum soil contact, to determine the relative efficiency of applied fertilizers. When measured on Maine Potato and Dairy soils, 70-95% of applied P can be lost according to this method. This points out the benefit of banding phosphate fertilizers, to limit soil contact and loss to unavailable forms.

Incubation studies also highlight the limits of applied P availability to plants. In a greenhouse study, Montgomery and Ohno (2004) contrasted identical rates of P applied from 3 types of manure, 4 types of biosolids, and triple superphosphate (TSP). Uptake of applied P by ryegrass was <10% from all sources, but uptake from organic sources (up to 7%) was nearly double that from TSP (4%). Residual P availability in the soil after cropping was more than double for the organic sources (up to 7%) vs TSP (2.5%). A 2014 incubation of natural fertilizers also found 5 – 20% of applied P remained available at the end of 16 weeks. These studies point out the limited, but improved and extended availability of P from non-chemical sources, such as manures and cover crops.

The tendency of all soils to tie up applied P in unavailable forms is compensated for by the efficiency factors built into soil test recommendations. Maine recommendations assume 15 – 30% efficiency, depending on typical crop management scenario and crop removal allowances. In forage production, less P is recommended to build STP levels (assuming higher efficiency) than with other crops. Average Soil Test P levels for forage crops have shown no consistent trend over the past 20 years, but are showing some increase in the proportion of Below Optimum test levels. For Potato application. The Fixation Index is an experimental method used to gauge potential loss of applied P & K, using maximum soil contact, to determine the relative efficiency of applied fertilizers. When measured on Maine Potato and Dairy soils, 70-95% of applied P can be lost according to this method. This points out the benefit of banding phosphate fertilizers, to limit soil contact and loss to unavailable forms.

Incubation studies also highlight the limits of applied P availability to plants. In a greenhouse study, Montgomery and Ohno (2004) contrasted identical rates of P applied from 3 types of manure, 4 types of biosolids, and triple superphosphate (TSP). Uptake of applied P by ryegrass was <10% from all sources, but uptake from organic sources (up to 7%) was nearly double that from TSP (4%). Residual P availability in the soil after cropping was more than double for the organic sources (up to 7%) vs TSP (2.5%). A 2014 incubation of natural fertilizers also found 5 – 20% of applied P remained available at the end of 16 weeks. These studies point out the limited, but improved and extended availability of P from non-chemical sources, such as manures and cover crops.

The tendency of all soils to tie up applied P in unavailable forms is compensated for by the efficiency factors built into soil test recommendations. Maine recommendations assume 15 – 30% efficiency, depending on typical crop management scenario and crop removal allowances. In forage production, less P is recommended to build STP levels (assuming higher efficiency) than with other crops. Average Soil Test P levels for forage crops have shown no consistent trend over the past 20 years, but are showing some increase in the proportion of Below Optimum test levels. For Potato

Soil Productivity and No-Till in Potato Systems

Sam Wright, Cavendish Agri-Services

The rich soils of Aroostook County have been the backbone of potato production for many years. As potato cropping systems have developed rapidly with the changing world, how have our soils been considered and how can we maximize their effect in our system. The Maine Soil Health Team is looking at strategies that go beyond holding soil in place to realize the true potential of our soil and implement practices to reach this potential. One such practice is the use of No-Till in the non Potato years to determine if the reduction in disturbance in a two and three year rotation can provide positive changes in the soil and improve yield and quality.

Cover Crops and Soil Moisture and Temperature Issues

Tony Jenkins, NRCS, Bangor

Cover crops affect soil moisture and temperature. The relationship is complex, but the effects on soil moisture and temperature from the amount and type of cover are important for farm management, especially in the spring. Some data will be explored, basic relationships examined, and general inferences made for potato rotation scenarios. In a “side by side” limited comparison, moderate to light cover appears to have negligible or even a slightly positive impact on soil warmup in spring (versus bare ground). However, at the whole-field scale, the presence of cover and better structure improve infiltration and reduce (meltwater and precipitation) runoff. This phenomenon could cause prolonged soil wetness and slower warmup relative to bare ground in the spring, as has been anecdotaly reported. Farm trials to investigate this will be described.

Strategies for Keeping Soil in Place

Eric M. Giberson, District Conservationist USDA-NRCS Fort Kent Field Office, Fort Kent, Maine

Healthy agricultural soils are the foundation of sustainable cropping systems. An overall strategy for long term soil health involves reducing the amount and intensity of tillage operations within the cropping system. In addition, a comprehensive soil health strategy must incorporate adequate soil cover through the use of living cover crops and plant residues to build soil organic matter and enhance beneficial soil biology.

Eliminating and/or reducing soil loss through water erosion and physical soil movement by agricultural machinery is key to the entire strategy of improving overall soil health. A comprehensive approach to soil management in cropping systems should include: 1) an assessment of the hydrology of the watershed in which the land unit is located; 2) an evaluation of the predominant soils that compose the land unit; 3) a determination of the erodibility of the topsoil; 4) an assessment of crop rotation history on the land unit; and 5) an inventory of the types of tillage operations regularly implemented throughout the cropping cycle.

Soil erosion falls within 3 basic categories: 1) classic gully erosion caused by excessive volumes of surface water eroding through the soil profile down to the subsoil; 2) ephemeral gully erosion, usually caused by lower volumes travelling at lower velocities that erode the upper layer of the topsoil; and 3) sheet and rill erosion most commonly caused by rainfall that pools on exposed soil surfaces, saturating the top 1” - 2” of topsoil. Fine soils is then transported downslope by additional rainfall. Tillage operations utilized as part of a cropping system will always increase the risk and magnitude of these classes of soil erosion on a given land unit.
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